

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,689	01/30/2001		Haruhisa Sakuma	122.1433	8149
21171	7590	10/24/2006	•	EXAMINER	
STAAS & I SUITE 700	HALSEY	LLP		DADA, BE	EMNET W
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20005		2135	

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

sa .	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/771,689	SAKUMA ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Beemnet W. Dada	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE REPLY FILED 10/03/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR		•					
. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance, (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that 	• • • •		er is later. In no				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(576) 664)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amondm	ont concoling				
the non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a North and sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarian.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	cned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
19							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claim language would require further consideration.

kim vu

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100